JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Kyle L. Welch			DEFENDANTS City of Phila., Off	ficer Joseph Rapone, Of	fficer Christopher Culver
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	r)	,	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF COMMENTATION CASES, USE TO THE TOP LAND INVOLVED.	
villari o. i ox, Loq.	, 1210 opidos ot., 111	14,777	, and it chouldn't		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P? Citizen of This State	TF DEF 1 ① 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		2	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITHRE/PENANTY	BEREE BANKRUPTGY REFERENCE	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	9 G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 G90 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Liability PERSONAL PROPER' 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	TY	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreolosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	#PRISONER:PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe: ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in ☐ 1 Original Proceeding Sta	**	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reinstated or	rred from	ict
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	42 U.S.	C. Sec. 1983	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Brief description of ea	ivil Rights Violation IS A CLASS ACTION	under 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983 DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 7/13/15		SIGNATURE OF ATT	OR VEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	DGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Kyle L. Welch		Civil Action
	Plaintiff	NO:
v.		
City of Philadelphia		
c/o City Law Departm	ient	
and,		
Officer Joseph Rapon	e	
c/o City Law Departm	nent	Formerly
and,		
		Court of Common Pleas
Officer Christopher C	Culver	Philadelphia County
c/o City Law Departm	ient	Trial Division – Civil
		January Term 2015
		No. 002668

Defendants

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To the Honorable Judges of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, defendants, City of Philadelphia, P/O Joseph Rapone and P/O Christopher Culver (hereinafter "petitioners") through their counsel, Aaron Shotland, Assistant City Solicitor, respectfully petition for the removal of this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In support thereof, defendants state the following:

- 1. In January 2015, plaintiff initiated this action by a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia, January 2015, No. 002668. (Exhibit A Complaint).
- 2. On June 25, 2015, said Complaint was served on Petitioners at 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges that on January 23, 2014, she sustained damages when her civil rights were violated by the defendants. (Exhibit A).

4. This action may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 since Plaintiff's Complaint contains allegations of violations of the plaintiff's Federal Civil Rights and seeks relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Exhibit A)

Wherefore, petitioners, City of Philadelphia, P/O Joseph Rapone and P/O Christopher Culver, respectfully request that the captioned Complaint be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

Craig Straw Chief Deputy City Solicitor

AARON SHOTLAND
Assistant City Solicitor
Attorney I.D. No. 205916
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
215-683-5434

Date: 7/13/15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Kyle L. Welch	- 1	Civil Action		
	Plaintiff	NO:		
v.				
City of Philadelphia	a			
c/o City Law Depar	rtment			
and,				
Officer Joseph Rap	one			
c/o City Law Depar	tment	Formerly		
and,		·		
		Court of Common Pleas		
Officer Christopher	r Culver	Philadelphia County		
c/o City Law Depar		Trial Division – Civil		
•		January Term 2015		
		No. 002668		
		- · - · - · - · - · · · · · · ·		

Defendants

NOTICE OF FILING OF REMOVAL

TO: William J. Fox, Esquire 1219 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on July 13, 2015, defendants, City of Philadelphia, P/O Joseph Rapone and P/O Christopher Culver filed, in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania a verified Notice of Removal.

A copy of this Notice of Removal is attached hereto and is also being filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1446(e).

A'ARON SHOTLAND Assistant City Solicitor Attorney I.D. No. 205916

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-683-5434

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Civil Action		
NO:		
Formerly		
Court of Common Pleas		
Philadelphia County		
Trial Division – Civil		
January Term 2015		
No. 002668		

Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Aaron Shotland, Assistant City Solicitor do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Notice of Removal has been served upon the following by First Class Mail, postpaid, on the date indicated below:

TO: William J. Fox, Esquire 1219 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

Aaron Shodland

Assistant City Solicitor

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-683-5434

Date: 7/13/15

Exhibit "A"

WILLIAM J. FOX, ESQUIRE Law Offices of William J. Fox, P.C. Attorney Id. 69898 1219 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 546-2477

KYLE L. WELCH
Plaintiff

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT and,

OFFICER JOSEPH RAPONE C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT and,

OFFICER CHRISTOPHER CULVER C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT Defendants Attorney for Plaintiff

PHILADELPHIA COUNT COURT OF COMMON P

JANUARY TERM 2015 NO. 2668

NOTICE

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with he court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NAT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Lawyer Reference Service One Reading Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 Telephone; 215-238-6333 TTY: 215-451-6197 AVISO

I e han demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de estademandas expuestas en las paginas siguientes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias deplavoal partir de la fecha de la demanda y la notificacion, llace lalta a senta una comparencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de s?? persona. Sea a visado que si ysted no se defiende, la corte tomara medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previa aviso o notificacion. Ademas, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perde? dinero o sus propiedades o otros de rechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIA LAMENIE S?? NO LLENE ABOGADO O SI NO LLENE EL DINERO SUFFICIENLL DI PAGAR TAL SERVICIO. VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE INCUENIRA ESCRIIA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDI SI PUIDI CONSEGUIR ASISIENCIA LEGAL.

Servicio de Referencia Legal Uno Reading Centro Filadelfia, PA 19107 Telefono: 215-238-6333 TTY: 215-451-6197

Case ID: 150102668

WILLIAM J. FOX, ESQUIRE Law Offices of William J. Fox, P.C. Attorney Id. 69898 1219 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 546-2477

Attorney for Plaintiff

KYLE L. WELCH

Plaintiff

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

v.

JANUARY TERM 2015

NO. 2668

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT

and,

:

OFFICER JOSEPH RAPONE C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT and,

:

OFFICER CHRISTOPHER CULVER C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT Defendants

dants

COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff, Kyle L. Welch, is a citizen and resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and the United States of America.
- Defendant, City of Philadelphia, is a municipality and agent of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Defendant City Of Philadelphia manages and oversees the Philadelphia Police Department and Philadelphia Prison System.
 - 3. Defendant, Joseph Rapone, is a Philadelphia Police Officer.
 - 4. Defendant, Christopher Culver, is a Philadelphia Police Officer.
 - 5. Plaintiff sues each and all Defendants in their individual and official capacities.
- 6. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants Rapone and Culver acted under color of law and under the color of the statutes, customs, ordinances and usage of the State of Pennsylvania, City of Philadelphia and Philadelphia Police Department.

- 7. At all times relevant, Defendants acted jointly and in concert with each other. Each individual Defendant had the duty and opportunity to protect the Plaintiff from the unlawful actions of the other Defendants but each Defendant failed and refused to perform such duty, thereby proximately causing Plaintiff's injuries.
- 8. At all times relevant, Defendant police officers were employed in their duties as police officers for the City of Philadelphia.
- 9. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant City of Philadelphia was acting through its agents, servants and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of employment and under the direct control of the Defendant City.
- 10. On January 23, 2014, Plaintiff, Kyle L. Welch, drove her car to E. Hilton Street in Philadelphia to pick-up her roommate, Christopher Poulan, who was helping a friend to move into an apartment.
- 11. It was snowing at the time and the roads were covered with snow. On the way home, while traveling on A Street, Plaintiff's vehicle got stuck in the snow.
- 12. After getting out of the car and pushing, she and Mr. Poulsen were able to get the car moving. Plaintiff got into the car as a passenger. At this point, Mr. Poulsen had taken over driving the car.
- 13. Before Plaintiff could continue her journey to her apartment in South Philadelphia, a large white sedan pulled-up right next to her vehicle. Two police officers were in the sedan.
- 14. Plaintiff noticed that the men in the sedan were wearing police uniforms and that they where Philadelphia Police Officers later identified as Defendants, Joseph Rapone and Christopher Culver.

- 15. Defendant Rapone rolled down the window of his vehicle. Recognizing him as police officer, Plaintiff rolled down her car window.
 - 16. Defendant Rapone then began to question and detain Plaintiff and Mr. Poulan.
- 17. Defendants, Rapone and Culver, for no good reason, began to question and harass Plaintiff and accuse Plaintiff of taking drugs, selling drugs and/or purchasing drugs.
- 18. Depite the fact that Defendants Rapone and Culver were rude, intimidating and vulgar, Plaintiff acted civilly and answered their questions. Defendants, mostly Defendant Rapone, then began to engage in unlawful searches, assaults, batteries and intimidating behavior that includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- a. Defendant Rapone, through his vehicle window, asked Plaintiff where she lived and Plaintiff told him where she lived. He then asked her what she was doing. She replied that she was picking up her friend. Defendant Rapone responded "bullshit" and stated "She's got dope on her."
- b. Defendant Rapone then jumped out of his vehicle and opened Plaintiff's door.

 Plaintiff attempted to get out and Rapone yelled: "Did I tell you to get out of the fucking car, did

 I? Stay in the fucking car." Plaintiff complied.
- c. Defendant Rapone asked Plaintiff if she had ever been arrested. When she replied no, he stated "Do you want to be arrested?" Plaintiff replied no.
- d. Defendant Rapone then asked Plaintiff if she had drugs on her. When she replied "no" Rapone yelled "bullshit". Plaintiff then emptied her pockets, which contained her phone, glasses and wallet, as a gesture to show Defendant Rapone that she did not have any drugs. Defendant Rapone then began to rummage through Plaintiff's wallet.

- e. Defendant Rapone unzipped Plaintiff's jacket and began to feel inside her jacket and then stated, "I'm not feeling you up."
- f. Defendant Rapone asked Plaintiff what she did for a living. When Plaintiff replied that she was a nurse anaesthetist, he replied "you are a fucking nurse with anesthesia?"
- g. Defendant Rapone questioned Plaintiff as to where she worked and Plaintiff responded, Pennsylvania Hospital.
- h. Defendant Rapone threatened to call Plaintiff's aforesaid employer and tell them that Plaintiff was hanging out in a "bad" neighborhood dealing and/or using drugs.
- i. Defendant Rapone obtained Plaintiff's date of birth, directed Plaintiff to stand behind the vehicle and then checked Plaintiff's date of birth to see if she had a criminal record.
- j. Defendant Culver had asked Mr. Poulen to step out of the car and began to search the car and opened the center console.
- k. Defendant Rapone asked Mr. Poulen if he had any needles (meaning needles used to shoot up heroin etc.). Mr. Poulen replied he did not. Defendant Rapone then threatened to harm Mr. Poulen if he got stuck with a needle.
- 19. Defendants Rapone and Culver returned to their vehicle and without saying anything further, or issuing any citations, drove away.
- 20. At no time did Defendants have any reasonable suspicion or probable cause to stop and detain Plaintiff.
- 21. At no time had Plaintiff or Mr. Poulen engaged in any criminal conduct that could have provided Defendants Rapone and Culver with reason to stop, detain, harass and illegally search Plaintiff's person and car.

- 22. At no time after the stop, did Defendants Rapone or Culver ever sign any affidavit stating that Plaintiff had committed a crime.
- 23. The conduct of Defendants Rapone and Culver is so outrageous as to go beyond all societal norms and expected behavior of a law enforcement officer.
- 24. On January 24, 2014, Plaintiff received a phone call from her employer informing her that an alleged anonymous caller had notified Penn Health Systems that Plaintiff was in a drug neighborhood and was trying to buy drugs.
- 25. On January 24, 2014, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Philadelphia Police Department Internal Affairs Unit.
- 26. On or about February 19, 2014, Plaintiff met with Lt. Logan from the Philadelphia Police IAD and was interviewed with regard to the events that took place on January 23, 2014.
- 27. However, despite repeated inquiries, the IAD has not informed Plaintiff of the results of their investigation. The IAD has acted with callous disregard of Plaintiff's complaint and has failed to inform Plaintiff of the results of its investigation.
- 28. As a result of the aforesaid false reports made by Defendants Rapone and Culver to Penn Health System, Plaintiff was required to undergo a drug screen test. The test results were negative.
- 29. As a result of the false reports made by Defendants Rapone and Culver to Penn Health System, Penn Health System forced Plaintiff to resign from her employment from Penn Hospital/Penn Health System.

COUNT I - DEFAMATION

30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint

as though same were fully set forth at length herein.

- 31. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was defamed to others by the false statements of Defendants Rapone and Culver that were made to Plaintiff's employer, and others, that Plaintiff had engaged in criminal activity and was an illegal drug dealer and user.
- 32. Due to the defamation that Plaintiff was subjected to, Plaintiff has suffered the following damages:
 - (a) loss of employment, wages and other pecuniary losses
 - (b) embarrassment and humiliation;
 - (c) damage to reputation;
 - (d) pain and suffering due to the insults to her nervous system; and
 - (e) mental anguish and distress.
- 33. Defendant's conduct in defaming Plaintiff was also reckless and intentional and outrageous.

COUNT II - DEFAMATION - SLANDER PER SE

- 34. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein
- 35. At all times relevant Defendants Rapone and Culver falsely reported to Plaintiff's employer that Plaintiff engaged in criminal conduct.
- 36. At all times relevant, Defendants Rapone and Culver repeatedly made disparaging comments to Plaintiff's employer accusing Plaintiff of selling drugs.
 - 37. These statements are false, defamatory and otherwise harassing to Plaintiff.
 - 38. It is extreme and outrageous to contact Plaintiff's employer and make false

statements.

- 39. Defendants' aforesaid defamatory statements constitute slander per se.
- 40. Due to the slander per se, Plaintiff has suffered the following damages:
 - (a) loss of employment, wages and other pecuniary losses
 - (b) embarrassment and humiliation;
 - (c) damage to reputation;
 - (d) pain and suffering due to the insults to her nervous system; and
 - (e) mental anguish and distress.

COUNT III - 42 U.S.C. §1983 FALSE IMPRISONMENT

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 42. Plaintiff, Kyle Welch, was damaged and injured as set forth above under 42 U.S.C. §1983 by Defendants Rapone and Culver in that they, as described in detail in the preceding paragraphs, violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights while acting under color of law.
- 43. More specifically, Defendants Rapone and Culver intentionally and unlawfully detained Plaintiff without probable cause, against Plaintiff's will, and these actions were the actual and proximate cause of the Plaintiff's injuries.

COUNT IV - 42 U.S.C. §1983 MONELL CLAIM

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 43 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 45. Plaintiff was damaged and injured as set forth above under 42 U.S.C.§1983 by Defendant Officers in that they, as described in detail in preceding paragraphs, violated Plaintiff's

constitutional rights while acting under color of law.

46. Defendant City of Philadelphia developed and maintained policies and/or customs

exhibiting deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of persons in the City of Philadelphia,

which caused the violation of Plaintiff's rights.

47. There has been a history of Philadelphia Police Officers engaging in rampant illegal

conduct in detaining civilians, without probable cause, because the civilian is Caucasian and in a

neighborhood that is known for illegal drug dealing activity and/or is considered to be a "drug

neighborhood."

48. It is and/or was the policy and/or custom of the Defendant City of Philadelphia to fail to

sufficiently supervise against, train and/or re-train against, and discipline against illegal police

activity as described herein.

49. It is and/or was the policy and/or custom of the Defendant City of Philadelphia to fail

to sufficiently supervise against, train and or re-train against, and discipline against complicity by

the Philadelphia Police Department regarding illegal police activity and illegal police stops without

any probable cause.

50. It was the policy and/or custom of Defendant City of Philadelphia to inadequately

supervise and train its Police Officers, like Defendants Rapone and Culver, against a code of silence

or complicity of Police Officers and other unlawful misconduct committed by Police Officers.

51. As a result of the above-described policies and customs and/or the lack thereof, Police

Officers of the City of Philadelphia, including the Defendants Rapone and Culver, believed that their

actions would not be properly monitored by supervisory Officers and that misconduct would not be

investigated or sanctioned, but would be tolerated.

8

52. As a result of all Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer pecuniary damages, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of reputation, scorn of the community and/or related financial losses

COUNT V - Invasion of Privacy - Casting in a False Light

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 52 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 54. Defendant City of Philadelphia and Defendants Rapone and Culver, acting in concert, conspiracy and/or jointly, invaded the privacy and/or cast Plaintiff in a false light by making it appear to others that Plaintiff had violated the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, engaged in criminal activities and/or had otherwise engaged in conduct that was inconsistent with the character and reputation of the Plaintiff.
- 55. The actions of all Defendants were undertaken knowingly, intentionally, negligently, recklessly, maliciously and/or in the absence of probable cause or other lawful grounds and/or with reckless disregard for the truth.
- 56. As a result of all Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer pecuniary damages, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of reputation, scorn of the community and/or related financial losses.

COUNT III - RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 58 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 58. At all times relevant, Defendant City of Philadelphia was the employer of Defendants Rapone and Culver.

59. At all times relevant and on January 23, 2014, Defendants Rapone and Culver were employed by, and were agents, servants, contractors, independent contractors and/or employees of Defendant City of Philadelphia.

60. On January 23, 2014, Defendants Rapone and Culver were working within the course and scope of their employment for the City of Philadelphia Police Department when they detained Plaintiff and contacted Plaintiff's employer to falsely report that Plaintiff was engaged in criminal activities.

61. The above-described acts of Defendants Rapone and Culver Dunn were committed within the scope of their employment for the City of Philadelphia Police Department in that said acts were committed while they were on duty and acting in furtherance of the business of the City of Philadelphia.

62. As a result of the foregoing acts of Defendant City of Philadelphia, Plaintiff suffers and continues to suffer pecuniary damages, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of reputation, scorn of the community and/or related financial losses..

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants and each of them, jointly and severally, as follows:

- a. Compensatory damages in an amount this Court shall consider to be just, reasonable and fair;
 - b. Damages for pain and suffering;
- c. Punitive damages in an amount the Court shall consider to be just, reasonable and fair;
 - d. Attorney fees and the costs of this action; and
 - e. Such other relief as this Court shall consider to be fair and equitable.

Date: <u>June 25, 2015</u>

VILLIAM J. KOX, ESØUIRE

Attorney for Maintiff

VERIFICATION

I, Kyle L. Welch, Plaintiff in this Action, hereby verify that the facts set forth in the foregoing Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

I understand that the statements made in said Pleading are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C. S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 6/25/15

КҮГЕ Ц. ЖЕГСН

Case ID: 150102668

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Kyle	L.	W	elch
	7	7.	

Civil Action

City of Philadelphia, Officer Joseph Rapone Officer Christopher Culver

No.

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

тетернопо		1 AX INUMBER	E-man Address		
(215) 683-5434 Telephone		(215) 683-5397 FAX Number	aaron.shotland@phila.gov E-mail Address		_
Dat	e	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
	7/13/2015		City of Philadelphia, et al.	_	
		Aaron Shotland, Esquire			
(f)	f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.		(2	()	
		everse side of this form for a detaile		()
(e)		nent Cases that do not fall into tra ed to as complex and that need spec			
(d)	Asbestos Case exposure to asbe	s involving claims for personal inju stos.	ry or property damage from	()
(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.			()
(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.			()
(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241through § 2255.			()

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA – DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 611 League Stree	et, Philadelphia, PA 19147	<u> </u>	
Address of Defendant: Law Department	t, 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadel	phia, PA 19102	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Philadelphia, PA Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental co	- ·	and any multiple to 1.1.	100/
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement I			No 区
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possib RELATED CASE IF ANY:	ilities?	Yes 🗆	No 🗵
Case Number: Ju	dge	Date Termin	nated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answere	d to any of the following questions:		
Is this case related to property included in an e		e year previously terminated ac Yes □	etion in this court?
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact of action in this court?	or grow out of the same transaction as a pri	ior suit pending or within one y Yes □	ear previously terminated No ⊠
Does this case involve the validity or infringer terminated action in this court?	nent of a patent already in suit or any earli	ier numbered case pending or v Yes 🗆	within one year previously No ⊠
CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY	7)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity J.	urisdiction Cases:	
1.	2. ☐ Airplan 3. ☐ Assault, 4. ☐ Marine 5. ☐ Motor V 6. ☐ Other Pr 7. ☐ Product 8. ☐ Product 9. ☐ (Please s	Vehicle personal Injury Personal Injury (Please specify) S Liability S liability - Asbestos All other Diversity Cases Ppecify)	er Contracts
I, Aaron Shotland	(Check appropriate Category, counsel of record do hereby certification)	y)	
☐ Pursuant to Local Rule 53.3 A, civil ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3 exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest ☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sough	B(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge a and costs;		able in this civil action case
DATE: <u>7/13/2015</u>	Aaron Shotland Attorney-at-Law	205916 Attorney I.I	D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo	will be a trial by jury only if there has	been compliance with F.R.	.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is no except as noted above.	t related to any case now pending or withi	n one year previously terminate	ed action in this court
DATE: 7/13/2015	H- TO		205916
CIV. 609 (4/03)	Aaron Shotland, Esq. Attorney-at-Law		Attorney I.D. #